## In the Claims

The status of claims in the case is as follows:

1	1. [Currently amended] A method for for processing
2	index numbers of accounts payable records from a plurality
3	of accounts payable systems to identify duplicate records,
4	comprising the steps of:
5	loading first records having an index number into a
6	database from a plurality of accounts payable systems
7	during a first predetermined time period;
8	for each record having said index number, searching
9	said database for another record, loaded during a
10	second earlier time period, having the same index
11	number and replacing said another record, if found,
12	with said first record;
13	comparing each first record for which no matching index
14 .	number record was found with all other first records
15	for which no matching index number record was found;

16

comparing each of said first records for which no

- matching index number record was found with all the

  other records including the replaced records in said

  database:
- generating reports of the comparing steps, the reports
  listing records which compared; and
- eliminating from said database said first records deemed to have compared.
  - 2. [Original] The method of claim 1, said records being
     invoice records.

## 3-5 [Canceled]

- 1 6. [Previously presented] A method for providing a report
- that can be used to evaluate two or more invoiced documents
- for further investigation of possible duplicate invoicing,
- 4 comprising the steps of:
- 5 maintaining a compact database by entering invoice data
- to said compact database from a plurality of accounts
- 7 payable systems for payment at a later date and
- 8 removing canceled invoice documents and invoice

9	documents older than a predetermined period;
10	responsive to submission of an invoice with a null
11	invoice indicia field entering date indicia in said
12	null invoice indicia field;
13	extracting data from said compact database by matching
14	on suppliers invoice indicia, name, date and amount;
15	checking said compact database for duplicate invoices
16	before said later date; and
17	producing said report from said data.
1	7. [Previously presented] Method for capturing packets of
2	possible duplicate invoices for duplicate invoice analysis,
3	comprising the steps of:
4	preparing a set of invoices including all invoices from
5	all of a plurality of accounts payable systems for

8

removing selected invoices from said set of invoices

different entities within an enterprise;

based upon first expert criteria to form an

investigative	packet;
---------------	---------

10	maintaining as a first subset of said investigative
11	packet a collection of current invoices that have not
12	yet been paid;

maintaining as a second subset of said investigative

packet a collection of history invoices that have been

paid;

generating based on second expert criteria from said current invoices and said history invoices a plurality of intermediate packets of invoices exhibiting a same behavior, each said intermediate packet including at least one invoice from said collection of current invoices;

dropping packets from said plurality of intermediate packets based on third expert criteria;

flagging invoices in remaining intermediate packets based on fourth expert criteria;

dropping from said remaining intermediate packets to

form a final set of packets invoices which have not been flagged; and

generating from said final set of packets a first
report of invoices having same invoice numbers and
vendor numbers, a second report of invoices having
similar vendor names and same invoice amounts; a third
report of invoices having similar invoice dates and
invoice amounts differing only on flagged conditions; a
fourth report of invoices having same invoice amounts
and invoice numbers but not same date and vendor name;
a fifth report of invoices having same invoice numbers
and vendor names but not same vendor number and invoice
amount; and a sixth report of invoices having same
invoice numbers, vendor name and invoice amounts,
irrespective of invoice date.

- 8. [Original] The method of claim 7, each invoice
  comprising a record including vendor identifier indicia,
  vendor record indicia, date indicia, and amount indicia.
  - 9. [Original] The method of claim 8, each said record including a vendor record indicia field, a data indicia

- field, and an amount indicia field.
- 1 10. [Original] The method of claim 9, further comprising
- 2 the steps of:

- 3 flagging said invoices in said packet against each
- 4 other with respect to expert criteria;
- dropping from said packet unflagged invoices; and
- 6 discarding remaining packets having no current
- 7 invoices.
- 1 11. [Original] The method of claim 10, further comprising
- the step of flagging record pairs having transposed digits
- 3 in said vendor record indicia fields.
- 1 12. [Original] The method of claim 10, further comprising
- the step responsive to receiving an invoice with null vendor
- 3 record indicia field of entering date indicia as date-like
- 4 indicia to said vendor record indicia field.
- 1 13. [Original] The method of claim 12, further comprising
- the step of flagging invoice pairs having a same vendor

- 3 identifier indicia and date-like indicia in said vendor
- 4 indicia field.

1 . . . . .

- 1 14. [Original] The method of claim 10, further comprising
- the step of flagging invoice pairs having matching vendor
- 3 record indicia.
- 1 15. [Original] The method of claim 10, further comprising
- the step of flagging invoice pairs having, for matching
- 3 vendor identification indicia, matching vendor record
- 4 indicia except for a prefix or suffix character.
- 1 16. [Original] The method of claim 10, further comprising
- the step of flagging invoice pairs, for matching vendor
- 3 identification indicia, having vendor record indicia of
- 4 different lengths.
- 1 17. [Original] The method of claim 10, further comprising
- the step of flagging invoice pairs matching on said vendor
- 3 record indicia while ignoring embedded blanks.
- 1 18. [Original] The method of claim 12, further comprising
- 2 the steps of:

- flagging invoice pairs having transposed digits in said vendor record indicia fields;
- flagging invoice pairs having a same vendor identifier indicia and date-like indicia in said vendor indicia field;
- flagging invoice pairs having matching vendor record indicia;
- flagging invoice pairs having, for matching vendor

  identification indicia, matching vendor record indicia

  except for a prefix or suffix character;
- flagging invoice pairs, for matching vendor

  identification indicia, having vendor record indicia of

  different lengths; and
- flagging invoice pairs matching on said vendor record indicia while ignoring embedded blanks.
- 1 19. [Original] The method of claim 7, further comprising 2 the step of forcing all said invoices to be current.

1 . !

- 1 20. [Original] The method of claim 7, further comprising
- the step of capturing packets having same vendor and invoice
- 3 numbers.

1 . . .

- 1 21. [Original] The method of claim 7, further comprising
- the step of capturing packets having similar vendor names
- 3 and same invoice amount.
- 1 22. [Original] The method of claim 7, further comprising
- 2 the step of capturing packets having similar invoice dates
- 3 and amounts, differing only on flagged conditions.
- 1 23. [Original] The method of claim 7, further comprising
- the step of capturing packets having same invoice amount and
- 3 numbers but not same date and vendor name.
- 1 24. [Original] The method of claim 7, further comprising
- the step of capturing packets having same invoice number and
- 3 vendor name but not same vendor number and invoice amount.
- 1 25. [Original] The method of claim 7, further comprising
- the step of capturing packets having the same vendor number
- and same invoice number and amount, irrespective of invoice
- 4 date.

- 26. [Currently amended] A program storage device readable
  by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions
  executable by a machine to perform method steps for
  processing index numbers of accounts payable records from a
  plurality of accounts payable systems to identify duplicate
- loading first records having an index number into a database during a first predetermined time period;

records, said method steps comprising:

- for each record having said index number, searching
  said database for another record, loaded during a
  second earlier time period, having the same index
  number and replacing said another record, if found,
  with said first record;
  - comparing each first record for which no matching index number record was found with all other first records for which no matching index number invoice was found;
  - comparing each of said first invoices for which no matching index number record was found with all the other records including the replaced records in said

6

14

15

16

17

18

20	database;
21	generating reports of the comparing steps, the reports
22	listing records which compared; and
23	eliminating from said database said first records
24	deemed to have compared.
1	27. [Previously presented] A program storage device
2	readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of
3	instructions executable by a machine to perform method steps
4	for providing a report that can be used to evaluate two or
5	more invoiced documents for further investigation of
6	possible duplicate invoicing, said method steps comprising:
7	maintaining a compact database by removing canceled
8	invoice documents and invoice documents older than a
9	predetermined period;
10	responsive to submission of an invoice with a null
11	invoice indicia field entering date indicia in said
12	null invoice indicia field;
13	extracting data from said compact database by matching

- on suppliers invoice indicia, name, date and amount; 14 15 and 16 producing said report from said data. [Currently amended] A program storage device readable 1 by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions 2 executable by a machine to perform method steps for 3 capturing packets of possible duplicate invoices for 5 duplicate invoice analysis, said method steps comprising: 6 preparing a set of invoices including all invoices from 7 all from all of a plurality of accounts payable systems for different entities within an enterprise; 8 removing selected invoices from said set of invoices 9 10 based upon first expert criteria to form an 11 investigative packet; 12 maintaining as a first subset of said investigative 13 packet a collection of current invoices that have not
- maintaining as a second subset of said investigative

yet been paid;

- packet a collection of history invoices that have been paid;
- generating based on second expert criteria from said

  current invoices and said history invoices a plurality

  of intermediate packets of invoices exhibiting a same

  behavior, each said intermediate packet including at

  least one invoice from said collection of current

  invoices:
- 24 dropping packets from said plurality of intermediate 25 packets based on third expert criteria;
- flagging invoices in remaining intermediate packets
  based on fourth expert criteria; and
- dropping from said remaining intermediate packets to
  form a final set of packets invoices which have not
  been flagged.
  - 1 29. [Currently amended] A system for capturing packets of
  - 2 possible duplicate invoices for duplicate invoice analysis,
  - 3 comprising:

4	a set of invoices including all invoices from all of a
5	plurality of accounts payable systems of an enterprise;
6	an investigative packet formed by removing selected
7	invoices from said set of invoices based upon first
8	expert criteria;
0	expert criteria;
9	a first subset of said investigative packet including a
10	current file of invoices that have not yet been paid;
11	a second subset of said investigative packet including
12	a history file of invoices that have been paid; and
13	a plurality of intermediate packets of invoices
14	generated based on second expert criteria from said
15	first and second subsets for storing invoices
16	exhibiting a same behavior, said packet including at
17	least one invoice from said of from said current file;
18	and
19	a plurality of reports generated from a plurality of
20	said packets including a first report of invoices
21	having same invoice numbers and vendor numbers, a

second report of invoices having similar vendor names

23 and same invoice amounts; a third report of invoices 24 having similar invoice dates and invoice amounts 25 differing only on flagged conditions; a fourth report 26 of invoices having same invoice amounts and invoice 27 numbers but not same date and vendor name; a fifth 28 report of invoices having same invoice numbers and vendor names but not same vendor number and invoice 29 amount; and a sixth report of invoices having same 30 invoice numbers, vendor name and invoice amounts, 31 irrespective of invoice date. 32

- 33 30. [Original] The system of claim 29, said packet
  34 containing invoices having same vendor and invoice numbers.
- 1 31. [Original] The system of claim 29, said packet
- 2 containing invoices having similar vendor names and same
- 3 invoice amount.

ra .

- 1 32. [Original] The system of claim 29, said packet
- 2 containing invoices having similar invoice dates and
- amounts, differing only on flagged conditions.
- 1 33. [Original] The system of claim 29, said packet
- 2 containing invoices having same invoice amount and numbers

3 but not same date and vendor name.

- 1 34. [Original] The system of claim 29, said packet
- 2 containing invoices having same invoice number and vendor
- name but not same vendor number and invoice amount.
- 1 35. [Original] The system of claim 29, said packet
- 2 containing invoices having the same vendor number and same
- invoice number and amount, irrespective of invoice date.
- 1 36. [Previously presented] A computer program product or
- 2 computer program element for processing index numbers of
- accounts payable records from a plurality of accounts
- 4 payable systems to identify duplicate records according to
- 5 method steps comprising:
- 6 loading first records having an index number into a
- 7 database during a first predetermined time period;
- 8 for each record having said index number, searching
- 9 said database for another record, loaded during a
- second earlier time period, having the same index
- number and replacing said another record, if found,
- 12 with said first record;

13	comparing each first record for which no matching index
14	number record was found with all other first records
15	for which no matching index number invoice was found;
16	comparing each of said first invoices for which no
17	matching index number record was found with all the
18	other records including the replaced records in said
19	database;
20	generating reports of the comparing steps, the reports
21	listing records which compared; and
22	eliminating from said database said first records
23	deemed to have compared.
1	37. [Previously presented] A computer program product or
2	computer program element for capturing packets of possible

- 37. [Previously presented] A computer program product or
  computer program element for capturing packets of possible
  duplicate invoices for duplicate invoice analysis according
  to method steps comprising:
- preparing a set of invoices including all invoices from all of a plurality of accounts payable systems for different entities within an enterprise;

8	removing selected invoices from said set of invoices
9 .	based upon first expert criteria to form an
10	investigative packet;
11	maintaining as a first subset of said investigative
12	packet a collection of current invoices that have not
13	yet been paid;
14	maintaining as a second subset of said investigative
15	packet a collection of history invoices that have been
16	paid;
17	generating based on second expert criteria from said
18	current invoices and said history invoices a plurality
19	of intermediate packets of invoices exhibiting a same
20	behavior, each said intermediate packet including at
21	least one invoice from said collection of current
22	invoices;
23	dropping packets from said plurality of intermediate
24	packets based on third expert criteria;
25	flagging invoices in remaining intermediate packets
26	based on fourth expert criteria; and

27	dropping invoices which have not been flagged from said
28	remaining intermediate packets to form a final set of
29	packets.